



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/070,886	04/09/2004	Paul K. Zoratti	10541-1316	2485
40879	7590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER PREVIL, DANIEL	
AUTOLIV A	SP			
3350 AIRORT OGDEN, UT	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
00000,00			2636	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/070,886	ZORATTI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel Previl	2636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for reply will b	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	0 June 2005.				
	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	•	• •			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-18 and 21-23 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 and 21-23 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-7 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the com	, -,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) ∭ Interview Su Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/13/2002.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/070,886

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8, 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark, Marcus T. (EP 0 775 613).

Regarding claim 1, Clark discloses a vehicle impact sensor assembly having a longitudinal axis, and first and second ends for monitoring impact events in a vehicle (fig. 1; page 3, lines 57-58, page 3, lines 1-31) comprising: a first housing member 24 (fig. 3); a second housing member cooperating with first housing member to define an elongated channel 26 (fig. 3; page 3, lines 16-52); an elongated impact sensor element for providing signals of impact events upon deformation of impact sensor, impact sensor element disposed within channel (fig. 3, page 4, lines 10-50); a connector for electrically connecting impact sensor element to vehicle (page 3, page 3, lines 21-27); whereby first and second housing members closeably interact to encase impact sensor element (fig. 3).

Regarding claim 2, Clark discloses electronics (accelerometers) to process signals from impact sensor element (page 2, lines 50-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/070,886

Art Unit: 2636

Regarding claim 3, Clark discloses a layer of compressible material disposed within elongated channel and under elongated impact sensor element (fig. 1).

Regarding claim 8, Clark discloses an impact sensor element is a bend sensitive element and is selected from the group consisting of piezoelectric cables, fiber optic deformation sensors and resistance elements (page 2, lines 41-51).

Regarding claim 10, Clark discloses a connector is integrally formed on lower housing member (fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark Marcus (EP 0 775 613) in view of Behr (US 5,141,193).

Regarding claim 9, Clark discloses all the limitations in claim 1 but fails to explicitly disclose a pigtail connector.

However, Behr discloses a pigtail connector (col. 2, lines 20-23).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Behr's pigtail connector in Clark

Application/Control Number: 10/070,886 Page 4

Art Unit: 2636

to secure efficiently the impact sensor in the vehicle thereby enhancing the safety of the vehicles as taught by Behr (col. 1, lines 9-27).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7, are objected.

- 5. Claims 11-18, 21-23 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In combination with all the limitations in the claim, the prior arts fail to teach or make obvious: a first housing member defining a plurality of localized projections disposed adjacent impact sensor element, whereby sufficient impact exerted upon first housing member causes projections to engage impact sensor element and induce localized deformation, providing an impact sensor assembly having an elongated longitudinal axis and first and second ends, a cross-sectional shape, an electrical connector and defining a plate disposed in a perpendicular manner to longitudinal axis at first end of assembly and having at least through passageway, sliding impact sensor assembly along longitudinal axis into main opening of mounting member until plate prohibits further inward sliding.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,886

Art Unit: 2636

Kruse et al. (US 5,623,246) discloses a vehicle impact sensor arrangement for detecting a side impact.

Senyk et al. (US 6,204,756) discloses a diagnostic for vehicle deformation sensor system.

Kato (US 5,793,005) discloses collision detecting apparatus operable in response to deformation and acceleration.

Saab (US 3,917,020) discloses vehicle safety system.

Meyer et al. (US 5,419,407) discloses a triggering mechanism for a safety device in a vehicle, particularly for a side airbag.

Sakakida et al. (US 5,195,776) discloses an air bag installation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Previl whose telephone number is (571) 272-2971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on (571) 272-2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,886 Page 6

Art Unit: 2636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Previl Examiner Art Unit 2636

DP September 6, 2005.

> JÉFFERY HOFSASS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600